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**INDEX of DEVELOPMENT of HUMAN POTENTIAL : THEORY And PRACTICAL POLITICS**

Determination of index is Given for the comparative estimation of poverty, literacy, education, mean time of life and other indexes of country, getting the name of index of development of human potential (ИРЧП), was worked out in 1990 by Pakistani economist Mahbub ul - Haq and from 1993 the UNO is used in an annual report about development of human potential. An index settles accounts on the basis of three types of data : 1) mean time of forthcoming life at birth (estimates longevity); 2) level of literacy of adult population of country and combined stake of students; 3) standard of living in an estimation through GDP (GDP) per capita on the parity of purchasing power.

It is certain that the UNO classifies countries on the level of development on 4 groups, thus in related to the first to 3 groups countries social terms keep possibility for reproduction of human potential (on descending), and in the countries of fourth group terms are absent not only for reproduction in quality but also on the amount of population.

The system of indexes, characterizing the quantitative and quality parameters of differentiation of socio-economic development of countries, is generalized, includes: is a coefficient of differentiation of ИРЧП on the degree of distinction in socio-economic development of analysable countries, regions into every country, and also separate task forces; it is a coefficient of differentiation of index of health (longevity), showing, as far as the state of health in one country or in a region better, than in other; it is a coefficient differentiations of index of acuests as index of distinction of terms for quality and quantitative reproduction of human potential in separate countries or regions.

Reasonable suggestion on the improvement of methodology of estimation of ИРЧП, the calculation of his basic elements gave more subzero results, that in the end, brought his level over to more logical correlation with the system of other indexes and rating of Ukraine on the estimations of different international organizations. Even in our time the pitiful attempts of separate countries to do itself "frightful" for world community, pumping full soldiery muscles the cost of wild impoverishment of people, appear grotesque. Ukraine, fortunately, does not belong to the number of such "monsters"; she was tangled in the choice of ways and lost reference-points. Played the worthless role herein and obsequious science that provided with "helmsman" "eye medications" and "compasses". But as and the "helmsman became" blind, and "compasses" do not work, it is needed quickly to treat people. And for this purpose, casting aside a swagger,